INFORMING READERS OF THE PRESENCE OF DATA COMMON TO MULTIPLE INVESTIGATIONS

Wayne W. Fisher and Cathleen C. Piazza

KENNEDY KRIEGER INSTITUTE AND JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

AND

Gregory P. Hanley

THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

Applied behavior analysts have shown increased interest in how behavioral assessment methods (e.g., functional analysis, reinforcer assessment) may be used to increase our understanding of and ability to treat aberrant behavior. For example, one of our patients, Carly, displayed destructive behavior (aggression, disruption) that was maintained by escape, attention, and access to tangible items. She participated in an investigation on the effectiveness of combining positive and negative reinforcement in the treatment of escape-maintained behavior (Piazza et al., 1997). She also participated under a different pseudonym, Carla, in an investigation that illustrated how a modified concurrentchains procedure could be used to evaluate client preferences for different treatment packages (Hanley, Piazza, Fisher, Contrucci, & Maglieri, 1997). Her functional analysis

Editor's note. This article raises an important issue related to the reproduction of data in JABA. JABA follows APA standards (see Standard 6.24, Duplicate Publication of Data, American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct, 1992) regarding the reproduction of data. If an author has published reproduced data, it is important to notify the editor so that a correction can be published. This type of correction is needed for indexing purposes. When notifying the editor, authors should indicate that specified data in one article were reproduced in a second article and should provide the relevant references.

Address correspondence to Cathleen C. Piazza, Neurobehavioral Unit, Kennedy Krieger Institute, 707 N. Broadway, Baltimore, Maryland 21205 (E-mail: Fisher@KennedyKrieger.org).

data were included in each investigation, not because they were the central focus of either study, but because they provided the basis for selecting the particular intervention in each investigation. Unfortunately, we did not cite the first investigation (Piazza et al.) in the second one (Hanley et al.), nor did we inform the reader that the same functional analysis appeared in both articles. In retrospect, this was a mistake. There are other examples of this occurring in *JABA* and elsewhere, both in articles from our program and from others, but it does not seem necessary to cite all of them for the purposes of this commentary.

There are a number of reasons why authors should acknowledge overlapping data, even if it is incidental to the central focus of the article. First, it protects authors, editors, and journals from future reproach. Second, it provides the reader with potentially important information that may influence the interpretation of the data. In the future, authors should add a statement alerting the reader when data presented in one article overlap with data in a previous article, even if the degree of overlap is minimal or the data appear in different formats (e.g., table vs. graph).

REFERENCES

Hanley, G. P., Piazza, C. C., Fisher, W. W., Contrucci, S. A., & Maglieri, K. A. (1997). Evaluation of

client preference for function-based treatment packages. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 30, 459–473.

Piazza, C. C., Fisher, W. W., Hanley, G. P., Remick, M. L., Contrucci, S. A., & Aitkin, T. A. (1997). The use of positive and negative reinforcement in

the treatment of escape-maintained destructive behavior. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 30, 279–298.

Received April 8, 1998 Final acceptance June 4, 1998 Action Editor, David P. Wacker